

Los Angeles, CA 90025

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	· CONFIRMATION NO.
09/549,450	04/14/2000	Syed Zacem Hosain	02556.P033X 8921	
7	590 01/03/2006		EXAM	IINER
Thomas C Webster			SHARMA, SUJATHA R	
Blakely Sokolo	off Taylor & Zafman LL	P		
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7th Floor			2684	

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/549,450	HOSAIN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sujatha Sharma	2684				
	is communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date. If NO period for reply is specified above, the Failure to reply within the set or extended.	OM THE MAILING DA r the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 the of this communication. the maximum statutory period w period for reply will, by statute, three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time (iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on 03 O	otober 2005					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		action is non-final.					
<u>'</u>	,—						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	·	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-34 and 36-42</u>	is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-34 and 36-42</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are obje	<u> </u>						
8) Claim(s) are subject	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certifi	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)		_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi		4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (I		5) D Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/549,450 Page 2

Art Unit: 2684

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 28 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The amended claim recites the limitation recites "performing a first detection process to detect support for the application not related to voice communication" if support needs to be detected quickly

- 4. The limitation underlined above is not disclosed in the specification and hence considered to be new matter.
- 5. Claims 29-34,36,38-42 are also rejected under 112, first paragraph since they are dependent claims on the rejected base claims 28,37.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2684

2. Claims 28,36,37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lynch

[US 5,586,338] in view of Barber [US 6,405,038] and further in view of Tendler [US 5,555,286].

Page 3

Regarding claims 28,36,37 Lynch discloses a method for determining whether a particular service is provided by a cellular provider comprising:

- -Reading a first identification number (SID) broadcast in a first frequency band where the first SID identifies a cellular service provider (see abstract, col.8, lines 5-14);
- -Determining whether the first SID matches a SID stored in a SID table (see col.8, lines 27-29 and lines 48-54);
- -Switching to a second frequency and reading a second SID broadcast in the second frequency if the first SID does not match a SID stored in the SID table (see col.9, lines 26-39, Fig.5);
- -wherein the support for the particular cellular service is identified if the SID in the first or second frequency bands matches a SID stored in the SID table (see col.2, lines 23-32), the first and second frequency bands being cellular bands A and B (see col.8, lines 5-9).

Lynch, however, is silent to teach if low priority detection is sufficient and performing high priority detection process if low priority is determined to be insufficient.

However Barber teaches a method of determining whether support for the particular service needs to be detected quickly if neither the first or second SID is identified in the said table and performing first detection (high priority) process if support for the particular service needs to be detected quickly and performing a second detection (low priority) process if support for the particular service does not need to be detected quickly. See summary of invention, col. 5, line 15 – col. 6, line 4. Here Barber teaches a method where different priority levels can be detected and also with each lower priority level detected, SIDs of preceding higher priority level

Art Unit: 2684

is not detected. However in case of emergency situation (see col. 2, lines 49-67), services are provided if any SID that are not on the preferred list is received by the cell phone meaning if low priority is determined not sufficient the preceding high priority SID is used.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Barber to Lynch so that the 911 emergency calls can be placed within areas where a new system selected is not available or if a new system is available and not listed on the SID list.

However Lynch and Barber do not disclose a method wherein the emergency service is activated without voice communication.

Tendler, discloses a method wherein the emergency service is activated by pressing a panic button on the telephone which is then interpreted by the network as a high priority call.

Thus there is no voice communication in this situation when a panic button is depressed. See ----.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Tendler to Barber and Lynch so that the emergency service without voice communication can be placed within areas where a new system selected is not available or if a new system is available and not listed on the SID list.

3. Claims 29,30,38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lynch [US 5,586,338], Barber [US 6,405,038] and Tendler [US 5,555,286] in view of Evans [US 6,311,060] and further in view of Roach [US 6,044,265].

Regarding claim 29, Lynch and Barber as treated in claim 28 do not disclose a method of listening for cellular pages having an NPA value in a first frequency band, the NPA value

Art Unit: 2684

indicating that the cellular provider broadcasting in the first frequency band supports the particular cellular service.

Evans teaches that a control message is referred to as a page and SID is carried in the control channel (see col.2, lines 1-44).

Roach teaches a method of identifying the system identification (SID) by a NPA. Roach further teaches the method of updating the SID table after a page is sensed in the said frequency block. See column 4, lines 13-44.

It is apparent that Evans and Roach teach that listening for cellular pages having an NPA value in a first frequency band, the NPA value indicating that the cellular provider broadcasting in the first frequency band supports the cellular service.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teachings of Roach and Evans to Barber and Lynch in order for an easy updating SID and informing the cellular set to add or remove the SIDs to the list.

Regarding claim 30, Lynch and Barber and Evans teach a method for determining whether a particular service supported by a cellular service provider comprising all the limitations as claimed. Evans further teaches if the cellular page is not detected in the first frequency band within a period of time, switching to a second frequency band (See Fig.9). Evans and Roach further teach that listening for cellular pages having an NPA value in a first frequency band, the NPA value indicating that the cellular provider broadcasting in the first frequency band supports the particular cellular service (See explanation treating claim 29).

Art Unit: 2684

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teachings of Roach and Evans to Barber and Lynch in order for an easy updating SID and informing the cellular set to add or remove the SIDs to the list.

Regarding claim 38, Lynch and Barber as treated in claim 28 do not disclose a method of listening for cellular pages having an NPA value in a first frequency band, the NPA value indicating that the cellular provider broadcasting in the first frequency band supports the particular cellular service.

Evans teaches that a control message is referred to as a page and SID is carried in the control channel (see col.2, lines 1-44).

Roach teaches a method of identifying the system identification (SID) by a NPA. Roach further teaches the method of updating the SID table after a page is sensed in the said frequency block. See column 4, lines 13-44.

It is apparent that Evans and Roach teach that listening for cellular pages having an NPA value in a first frequency band, the NPA value indicating that the cellular provider broadcasting in the first frequency band supports the cellular service.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teachings of Roach and Evans to Barber and Lynch in order for an easy updating SID and informing the cellular set to add or remove the SIDs to the list.

Evans further teaches if the cellular page is not detected in the first frequency band within a period of time, switching to a second frequency band (See Fig.9). Evans and Roach further

teach that listening for cellular pages having an NPA value in a first frequency band, the NPA value indicating that the cellular provider broadcasting in the first frequency band supports the cellular service (See explanation treating claim 29).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teachings of Roach and Evans to Barber and Lynch in order for an easy updating SID and informing the cellular set to add or remove the SIDs to the list.

4. Claims 31-34,39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lynch [US 5,586,338], Barber [US 6,405,038] and Tendler [US 5,555,286] in view of Zicker [US 5,159,625] and further in view of Evans [US 6,311,060].

Regarding claims 31,39, Lynch and Barber as treated in claim 28 teach all the limitations as claimed. They are however silent to teach transmitting a page request packet in the first frequency band to a host across a cellular network and receiving a cellular page from the host in response to the page request packet thereby identifying the cellular service provider broadcasting at the first frequency band as one which supports the particular cellular service.

However, Zicker teach the exchange of data between host and a remotely programmable cellular mobile radiotelephone (CMR) (see col.5, lines 5-20 and Fig.1).

Evans teach transmitting and receiving a message/page in the first frequency band between the MSC and the home system and the message indicates that the particular CMR has registered in another cellular system and includes the SID and MSC number identifying the foreign CMR system (see col.2, lines 1-44, col.11, lines 3-27, 55-67 and col.12, lines 1-3). It is

Art Unit: 2684

apparent that Lynch, Barber, Zicker and Evans teach transmitting and receiving a message in the first frequency band to a host across a cellular network.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teachings of Evans to modified Barber and Lynch in order to collect billing information and bill the customer for using the home or foreign system.

Regarding claims 32,40, Lynch, Barber, Zicker and Evans as treated in claim 31 teach all the limitations as claimed. Evans further teaches updating the SID table to include a SID of the cellular service provider from which the cellular page was received (see col.11, lines 4-27 and Fig.9).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teachings of Evans to modified Barber and Lynch in order to facilitate roaming of the user to foreign system.

Regarding claims 33,41, Lynch and Barber as treated in claim 31 teach all the limitations as claimed. Evans further teach if the cellular page is not received within a pre-determined time, switching to a second frequency band and transmitting a second page request to a host across a cellular network, and receiving in response a cellular page from the host, thereby identifying the cellular service provider broadcasting at the second frequency band as one which supports the particular cellular service (see Fig.9).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teachings of Evans to modified Barber and Lynch in order to collect billing information and bill the customer for using the home or foreign system.

Regarding claims 34,42 Lynch and Barber as treated in claim 33 teach all the limitations as claimed. Evans further teaches updating the SID table to include a SID of the cellular service provider from which the cellular page was received (see col.11, lines 4-27 and Fig.9).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teachings of Evans to modified Barber and Lynch in order to facilitate roaming of the user to foreign system.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 28-34,36-42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2684

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sujatha Sharma whose telephone number is 571-272-7886. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7.30am - 4.00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on 571-272-7882. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sujatha Sharma

December 19, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 10